United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	10/662,225	09/12/2003	Bernard Plessier	2110-49-3	8365	
	7590 01/23/2007 GRAYBEAL JACKSON HALEY LLP			EXAMINER		
	Suite 350			CHERY, MARDOCHEE		
	155-108th Ave. Bellevue, WA 9			ART UNIT ·	PAPER NUMBER	
				2188		
		•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			•	01/23/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/662,225	PLESSIER ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Mardochee Chery	2188		

zororo are i ming er arri ippear ziror	Examiner	Art Unit	j				
	Mardochee Chery	2188					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 26 December 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
	a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN							
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	within the time period set forth in 3	67 CFR 41.37(a).					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause				
(a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☑ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		TE below);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	• • •	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).						
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	⊠ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wivided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10, 12-20</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attact	ned.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	it does NOT place the application if	n condition for allowar	nce because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		, a				
	CADEDIAGO	YUNG SOLUTION	/				
	SUPERVISO	DRY PATENT EXAMIL	L.,				

INISURI PAIENI EXA

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly added limitations into independent claim 12, the memory locations comprise "rings..., with the contents of each ring being independent of the contents of the other rings;" and the control circuit is operable "to control each of the rings...", lack support in the original disclosure and require further search and consideration.